RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Small-scale analysis of phytoecdysteroids in seeds by HPLC-DAD-MS for the identification and quantification of specific analogues, dereplication and chemotaxonomy

Laurence Dinan¹ | Christine Balducci¹ | Louis Guibout¹ | René Lafont^{1,2}

¹Biophytis, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France ²Paris-Seine Biology Institute (BIOSIPE), CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

Correspondence

Laurie Dinan, Biophytis, Sorbonne Université, Campus P & M Curie, 4 place Jussieu, Paris 7505, France. Email: dinanlaurence@gmail.com

Funding information

Biophytis, Paris, France; FAER (Dorchester, UK)

Abstract

Introduction: Phytoecdysteroids are analogues of arthropod steroids occurring in plants. They contribute to invertebrate deterrence. A wide diversity of ecdysteroids occurs in phytoecdysteroid-containing plant species, sometimes in high amounts. Ecdysteroids demonstrate potentially useful pharmaceutical actions in mammals.

Objectives: Establish reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (RP-HPLC-MS/MS) and RP-HPLC-DAD-MS (diode array detector mass spectrometry) methods for the separation, identification and quantification of ecdysteroids to screen for species containing significant amounts of 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) and other useful ecdysteroids.

Materials and methods: Micro-extracts of seed samples (ca. 30 mg) in 50% ethanol were subjected to RP-SPE (solid-phase extraction) purification prior to analysis by RP-HPLC-MS/MS and RP-HPLC-DAD-MS.

The method was initially applied to genera (*Amaranthus, Centaurea, Lychnis, Ourisia, Serratula, Silene* and *Trollius*) where high-accumulating species had been previously encountered. Seeds of 160 randomly selected species, many of which have not previously been assessed, were then analysed. HPLC-MS/MS with a short analysis time initially identifies ecdysteroid-positive extracts and quantifies 20E. The positive extracts (20 ng 20E) are then analysed by HPLC-MS/MS with a longer analysis time to identify and quantify 17 common phytoecdysteroids and, finally, HPLC-DAD-MS (0.1–0.25 µg 20E) is used to obtain UV- and MS-spectra to confirm identifications or as a basis for characterisation of partially identified or novel analogues.

Results: *Lychnis coronaria, Silene fimbriata* and *Silene hookeri* ecdysteroids are characterised for the first time and those of *Cucubalus baccifer* and *Ipheion uniflorum* are more extensively characterised.

Conclusions: The procedure provides a rapid/sensitive method for screening small plant samples for the presence, quantification and identification of ecdysteroids. It permits ready dereplication of samples, identifying extracts containing large amounts or novel analogues.

Abbreviations: DAD, diode-array detector; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; MS, mass spectrometry; RIA, radioimmunoassay; RP, reversed-phase; SAR, structure-activity relationship; SPE, solid-phase extraction.

² WILEY-

KEYWORDS

20-hydroxy, ecdysone, chromatography, ecdysone, ecdysteroid, mass spectrometry, spectroscopy, steroid profile

1 | INTRODUCTION

Ecdysteroids are the major class of steroid hormones in invertebrates, where they regulate moulting, development and reproduction.¹ The major hormonally-active ecdysteroid in insects is regarded to be 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E, Figure 1), although a total of about 50 analogues have been identified as precursors, intermediates and metabolites, and it is possible that some of these may also have hormonal activity in their own right.² Additionally, ecdysteroids occur in a significant proportion of plant species, where they are referred to as phytoecdysteroids, at far higher concentrations (ca. 1000-fold) and with a much greater range of structural diversity than has been found in arthropods (zooecdysteroids).³ In plants, ecdysteroids are believed to serve to reduce invertebrate predation by acting as feeding deterrents on interaction with taste receptors, or as endocrine disruptors on ingestion bringing about hormonal imbalance involving the mis-regulation of gene expression hv intracellular ecdysteroid receptors.⁴ Again, the major phytoecdysteroid encountered in plants is 20E, but many others are also found.³ The levels of ecdysteroids in phytoecdysteroidaccumulating plants depend on the species, the ecotype, the stage of development, the plant part and whether the plant has been subjected to stress or predation. Phytoecdysteroid levels can be extremely high (e.g. 20E at 3.2% of the dry weight of the stem in Diploclisia glaucescens⁵), but more typically are in the range of 0.1 to 1% of the dry weight, and it appears that most, if not all, plant species have the genetic capacity to produce ecdysteroids, even if the majority do not normally do so.⁶ Phytoecdysteroid profiles can vary from relatively simple, where one or a few analogues predominate to complex cocktails of many analogues. It is now also apparent that ecdysteroids bring about a large range of, essentially beneficial, pharmaceutical effects in mammals,⁷ such that there is now a strong and growing interest in using them for medical purposes.⁸ For example, the anabolic activity of ecdysteroids which, in contrast to testosterone-like anabolics, does not require associated exercise/training and does not have psychological and physical sideeffects, is being exploited for the development of new approaches to the treatment of sarcopenia, Duchenne muscular dystrophy and other muscle-wasting conditions. Up to the present, such trials could only be conducted with 20E, as this is the only pure analogue available commercially in significant amounts.

Research on the roles, modes of action and applications of ecdysteroids in invertebrates, plants and mammals all require the availability of adequate amounts of pure ecdysteroids, especially in the case of clinical trials on mammalian species, where kilogram amounts of an analogue are needed. Since the chemical synthesis of ecdysteroids is complicated and proceeds with a low yield,⁹ it is not an economically viable strategy for the preparation of large amounts of an ecdysteroid. Semi-synthetic strategies for the conversion of one ecdysteroid analogue (usually 20E) into another may be feasible in a few cases.^{10,11} However, without doubt, the generally most applicable strategy to obtain an adequate amount of a specific ecdysteroid analogue is to isolate it from an appropriate plant source. Up to now this approach has been successful for 20E, where commercial, large-scale extraction from plants such as *Cyanotis arachnoidea*, *Pfaffia* spp., *Serratula coronata* and *Leuzea carthamoides* has resulted far greater availability and a reduction in cost from USD2000/g to USD1000/kg over the past two decades. To date, only ca. 2% of plant species have been investigated in any way for their ecdysteroid content.^{12,13}

While 20E may continue to remain the most readily accessible and most exploited ecdysteroid, there is a need to identify suitable plant sources from which other ecdysteroid analogues can be readily isolated in high yield and at reasonable cost. Ideally, such plant sources would contain (i) a large amount of the desired ecdysteroid, (ii) few other analogues (which are difficult to separate off by simple/cost-effective purification methods, such as solvent partitions and crystallisation) and (iii) the absence of components in the plant matrix which interfere with the purification. Such analogues are important for structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies on receptors and enzymes in invertebrates and mammals, biosynthetic and metabolic studies in invertebrates, plants and mammals, bioavailability and pharmacokinetic studies in mammals and, ultimately, clinical trials in mammals, including humans. Since the known high-accumulating plant species contain large amounts of ecdysteroids in their seeds, and these are relatively readily accessible and a consistent source of plant material, we have developed a screening procedure, based on micro-extraction of small amounts of commercially available seeds coupled with specific and sensitive identification of ecdysteroids by coupled high-performance liquid chromatography diode array detector mass spectrometry (HPLC-DAD-MS). Here we present the method and discuss its potential use for the characterisation of phytoecdysteroid profiles, for the identification of plant sources of specific ecdysteroid analogues and their wider chemotaxonomical implications.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Source of plant material

Seeds were purchased from Plant World Seeds, Torquay, Devon, UK. Organic solvents were supplied by Carlo Erba, Val-de-Reuil, France.



| Ecdysteroid | Abbreviation | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 | R8 | R9 | R10 | R11 | R12 |
|----------------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|--------|-----|-----|----------------|-------|--------|---------------------|
| Abutasterone | Abu | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | HO- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Ajugasterone C | AjuC | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | HO- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | H- | H₃C- |
| Dacryhainansterone | Dacry | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | Δ9(11) | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | H- | H₃C- |
| Dacrysterone | Dacryst | H- | HO- | HO | H- | βΗΟ- | H- | HO- | HO- | H₃C- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 3-Dehydroecdysone | 3dE | H- | HO- | 0 | = | βH- | H- | H- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 3-Dehydro-20- | 3d20E | H- | HO- | 0 | = | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| hydroxyecdysone | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24(28)- | 24(28)DMakA | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H ₂ | C= | HO- | H₃C- |
| Dehydromakisterone A | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Deoxyecdysone | 2dE | H- | H- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | H- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 25-deoxyecdysone | 25dE | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | H- | HO- | H- | H- | H- | H₃C- |
| 2-deoxy-20- | 2d20E | H- | H- | HO- | H- | βН- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| hydroxyecdysone | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20,26- | 20,26E | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βН- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | (R/S) |
| dihydroxyecdysone | | | | | | | | | | | | | HOH ₂ C- |
| Ecdysone | E | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | H- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 24-Epi-abutasterone | 24epiAbu | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | HO- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 24-Epi-makisterone A | 24epiMakA | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H₃C- | HO- | H₃C- |
| 20-Hydroxyecdysone | 20E | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βН- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| (5α-H)20- | 5α20E | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | αH- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| hydroxyecdysone | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26-Hydroxypolypodine | 26PolB | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βΗ- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | (R/S) |
| В | | | | | | | | | | | | | HOH ₂ C- |
| Inokosterone | Ino | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | H- | (R/S) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | HOH ₂ C- |
| Integristerone A | IntA | HO- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Makisterone A | MakA | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βН- | H- | HO- | HO- | H₃C- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Muristerone A | MurA | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βΗΟ- | HO- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | H- | H₃C- |
| Polypodine B | PolB | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βΗΟ- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Ponasterone A | PonA | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | НО | HO- | H- | H- | H- | H₃C- |
| Pterosterone | Pter | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | HO- | H- | H₃C- |
| Stachysterone C | StachC | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | HO- | | -CH=C | 2< | H₃C- |
| Taxisterone | Tax | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | H- | HO- | H- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Turkesterone | Turk | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βH- | HO- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | HO- | H₃C- |
| Viticosterone E | VitE | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | βΗ- | H- | HO- | HO- | H- | H- | H₃COO- | H₃C- |

FIGURE 1 Ecdysteroid structures and numbering

2.2 | Extraction

Seeds from a packet (up to 30 mg) were weighed into a weighing boat and then transferred to a Precellys tube, Bertin Technologies,

Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France (CK14; 2 mL) containing ca. 60 small porcelain beads. The tubes were firmly sealed and the seeds pulverised in a Savant Fast Prep apparatus, Thermo-Fisher, France (3 \times 30 s at speed setting 6.5). Ethanol/water (1:1 v/v;

4____WILEY-



Rubrosterone (Rub)

Sidisterone (Sidi)



1.5 mL) was added to each sample and the tubes were sonicated in a sonication bath for 30 min. The tubes were then heated at 75°C in an oil-bath for 120 min, cooled and then centrifuged in an Eppendorf Centrifuge, Stevenage, UK (30 s at full speed) to pellet solid material.

2.3 | Partial purification by SPE

An aliquot (1 mL) of each seed extract was diluted with 4 mL water and applied to a new, pre-activated C_{18} -Sep Pak cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and washed with 5 mL 10% methanol and eluted with 5 mL 100% methanol. The 100% methanol fraction was analysed by HPLC-MS/MS (tandem mass spectrometry) and HPLC-DAD-MS for:

- Quantification of 20E by MS/MS to assess the presence or absence of ecdysteroids in the sample
- Quantification of 20E and 16 other ecdysteroids by HPLC-MS/MS (in triplicate in comparison to calibration curves run before and after the samples and in comparison to older samples of known concentration)
- Determination of chromatographic profiles by HPLC-DAD-MS for the identification of ecdysteroids on the basis of UV- and MSspectra and retention times

2.4 | Equipment for HPLC-DAD-MS

The Agilent 1260 Infinity LC system consisted of a Model G7167A Injector, a Model G1311B pump, a Model G4212B photodiode-array detector and a Model 6420 Triple Quad Mass Spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The program used for quantitative and qualitative data analyses was Mass Hunter chromatography software (Version B.07.00).

2.5 | Reference ecdysteroids

Samples of verified ecdysteroids were obtained from the ecdysteroid reference collection of one of us (RL; see www.ecdysone.org for physico-chemical data for these compounds), accurately weighed and dissolved in methanol to give solution of known concentration. The purity of each was determined by reversed-phase (RP)-HPLC with a DAD. Seventeen ecdysteroids, where the purity was > 97%, were used for the preparation of calibration curves (Table 1).

2.6 | Ecdysteroid calibration curves

The HPLC separation of the 17 reference ecdysteroids is shown in Figure 2. Calibration curves (5–5000 ng/mL) were established in triplicate using the HPLC-MS/MS conditions described later for each of the reference ecdysteroids, and the equations, regression coefficients, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) values are summarised in Table 1.

2.7 | Initial quantification of 20E by HPLC-MS/MS

Aliquots (5 μ L) of the 100% methanol fraction from the Sep Pak C₁₈ purification of each seed extract were mixed with 5 μ L water and separated in triplicate on a Fortis C18 2.1 × 50 mm (5 μ m particle size) column eluted at 0.3 mL/min with a gradient from 10% to 100%

TABLE 1 Summary of reference ecdysteroid properties and retention times

| MW | Purity (%) | Retention time (min) | MS transition | Calibration equation | R ² | LOD (ng/mL) | LOQ (ng/mL) |
|-----|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 496 | 99.0 | 15.8 | $497.0 \rightarrow 371.0$ | y = 1604x | .9994 | 1 | 4 |
| 480 | 95.8 | 24.2 | $\textbf{481.1} \rightarrow \textbf{445.0}$ | y = 1753x | .9996 | 19 | 63 |
| 520 | 97.0 | 24.9 | $\textbf{521.1} \rightarrow \textbf{485.5}$ | y = 650.0x | .9994 | 2 | 7 |
| 462 | 99.5 | 32.4 | $\textbf{462.9} \rightarrow \textbf{299.0}$ | y = 369.4x | .9998 | 2 | 8 |
| 448 | 98.2 | 35.0 | $449.0 \rightarrow 431.0$ | y = 11.11x | .9997 | 300 | 1000 |
| 448 | 98.9 | 40.4 | $449.0 \rightarrow 413.1$ | y = 760.4x | .9982 | 4 | 13 |
| 464 | 99.0 | 26.7 | $\textbf{465.3} \rightarrow \textbf{429.0}$ | y = 3449x | .9988 | 3 | 9 |
| 496 | 99.0 | 12.1/12.7 ^{aa} | $495.2 \rightarrow 175.0$ | y = 75.95x | .9996 | 1 | 2 |
| 464 | 99.0 | 26.2 | $447.0 \rightarrow 429.0$ | y = 1773x | .9999 | 38 | 125 |
| 496 | 99.0 | 11.7 | $\textbf{497.1} \rightarrow \textbf{461.2}$ | y = 1004x | .9990 | 7 | 23 |
| 480 | 97.5 | 18.2 | $\textbf{481.1} \rightarrow \textbf{371.2}$ | y = 1711x | .9993 | 2 | 8 |
| 496 | 97.2 | 14.3 | $\textbf{497.1} \rightarrow \textbf{386.9}$ | y = 4.403x | .9932 | 200 | 667 |
| 496 | 99.0 | 16.8 | $\textbf{497.4} \rightarrow \textbf{351.3}$ | y = 287.2x | .9993 | 5 | 16 |
| 464 | 90.9 | 34.8 | $465.1 \rightarrow 447.0$ | y = 3561x | .9987 | 3 | 10 |
| 362 | 98.3 | 22.8 | $\textbf{363.1} \rightarrow \textbf{345.0}$ | y = 1652x | .9990 | 2 | 8 |
| 464 | 97.9 | 28.4 | $\textbf{461.1} \rightarrow \textbf{429.0}$ | y = 3045x | .9998 | 3 | 10 |
| 496 | 99.0 | 8.9 | $\textbf{496.9} \rightarrow \textbf{461.1}$ | y = 230.3x | .9996 | 18 | 59 |
| | MW 496 480 520 462 448 448 464 496 | Purity (%) 496 99.0 480 95.8 520 97.0 442 97.0 442 98.2 448 98.9 448 98.9 448 99.0 446 99.0 454 97.0 464 97.0 464 97.0 480 97.5 480 97.5 480 97.0 480 97.5 480 97.0 480 97.5 480 97.5 480 97.5 480 97.5 480 97.2 496 90.9 464 90.9 362 98.3 464 97.9 464 97.9 464 97.9 | Purity (%)Retention time (min)49699.015.848095.824.252097.024.946299.532.444898.235.044898.940.444899.026.744699.026.249699.011.748097.518.249697.214.349699.024.849499.014.349597.214.349690.934.846490.928.446497.98.9 | NWWPurity (%)Retention time (min)MS transition49699.015.8497.0 -> 371.048095.824.2481.1 -> 445.052097.024.9521.1 -> 485.546299.532.4462.9 -> 299.044898.235.0449.0 -> 431.044898.940.4449.0 -> 413.145499.026.7465.3 -> 429.049699.012.1/12.7 ^{aa} 495.2 -> 175.046499.026.2447.0 -> 429.049697.011.7497.1 -> 461.248097.518.2481.1 -> 371.249699.016.8497.4 -> 351.346490.934.8465.1 -> 447.036298.322.8363.1 -> 345.046497.928.4461.1 -> 429.049699.08.9496.9 -> 461.1 | MWPurity (%)Retention time (min)MS transitionCalibration equation49699.015.8 $497.0 \rightarrow 371.0$ $y = 1604x$ 48095.8 24.2 $481.1 \rightarrow 445.0$ $y = 1753x$ 52097.0 24.9 $521.1 \rightarrow 485.5$ $y = 650.0x$ 46299.5 32.4 $462.9 \rightarrow 299.0$ $y = 369.4x$ 44898.2 35.0 $449.0 \rightarrow 431.0$ $y = 11.11x$ 44898.9 40.4 $449.0 \rightarrow 413.1$ $y = 760.4x$ 45499.0 26.7 $465.3 \rightarrow 429.0$ $y = 3449x$ 45499.0 26.2 $447.0 \rightarrow 429.0$ $y = 1773x$ 45499.0 26.2 $447.0 \rightarrow 429.0$ $y = 1773x$ 45499.0 11.7 $497.1 \rightarrow 461.2$ $y = 1004x$ 48097.5 18.2 $481.1 \rightarrow 371.2$ $y = 1711x$ 49697.2 14.3 $497.4 \rightarrow 351.3$ $y = 287.2x$ 46490.9 34.8 $465.1 \rightarrow 447.0$ $y = 3561x$ 46490.9 34.8 $465.1 \rightarrow 447.0$ $y = 3561x$ 362 98.3 22.8 $363.1 \rightarrow 345.0$ $y = 1652x$ 464 97.9 28.4 $461.1 \rightarrow 429.0$ $y = 3045x$ | NWPurity (%)Retention time (min)MS transitionCalibration equation R^2 49699.015.8497.0 \rightarrow 371.0y = 1604x.999448095.824.2481.1 \rightarrow 445.0y = 1753x.999652097.024.9521.1 \rightarrow 485.5y = 650.0x.999446299.532.4462.9 \rightarrow 299.0y = 369.4x.999844898.235.0449.0 \rightarrow 431.0y = 11.11x.999744898.940.4449.0 \rightarrow 431.1y = 760.4x.998845499.026.7465.3 \rightarrow 429.0y = 3449x.998845499.012.1/12.7aa495.2 \rightarrow 175.0y = 75.95x.999645499.011.7497.1 \rightarrow 461.2y = 1004x.999345697.018.2481.1 \rightarrow 371.2y = 1711x.999345697.214.3497.1 \rightarrow 366.9y = 4.403x.993249697.214.3497.1 \rightarrow 365.1y = 287.2x.999349697.214.3497.1 \rightarrow 365.9y = 287.2x.999349699.034.8465.1 \rightarrow 447.0y = 3045.x.999349699.934.8465.1 \rightarrow 447.0y = 3045.x.999346490.934.8465.1 \rightarrow 447.0y = 3045.x.999646497.928.4363.1 \rightarrow 345.0y = 3045.x.999846497.928.4461.1 \rightarrow 429.0y = 3045.x.9998464 <t< td=""><td>NWWPurity (%)Retention time (min)MS transitionCalibration equationP2LOD (ng/mL)49699.015.8497.0 -> 371.0y = 1604x.9994148095.824.2481.1 -> 445.0y = 1753x.99961952097.024.9521.1 -> 485.5y = 650.0x.9994246299.532.4462.9 -> 299.0y = 369.4x.9998244898.235.0449.0 -> 431.0y = 11.11x.9997.30044898.940.4449.0 -> 413.1y = 760.4x.988.2.3446499.026.7.465.3 -> 429.0y = 3449x.988.8.345499.012.1/12.7^{aa}.495.2 -> 175.0.975.95x.9996.345499.011.7.471> 461.2y = 1711x.999.3.345697.018.2.471> 386.9.911.11x.999.3.245697.214.3.497.1 -> 361.2y = 171.3x.999.4.345697.214.3.497.1 -> 361.3.y = 287.2x.993.3.245697.916.8.451.1 -> 475.0.y = 3651.x.998.7.345490.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 155.x.998.3.345490.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 3045.x.998.3.345497.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 3045.x.998.3.345497.9<!--</td--></td></t<> | NWWPurity (%)Retention time (min)MS transitionCalibration equationP2LOD (ng/mL)49699.015.8497.0 -> 371.0y = 1604x.9994148095.824.2481.1 -> 445.0y = 1753x.99961952097.024.9521.1 -> 485.5y = 650.0x.9994246299.532.4462.9 -> 299.0y = 369.4x.9998244898.235.0449.0 -> 431.0y = 11.11x.9997.30044898.940.4449.0 -> 413.1y = 760.4x.988.2.3446499.026.7.465.3 -> 429.0y = 3449x.988.8.345499.012.1/12.7 ^{aa} .495.2 -> 175.0.975.95x.9996.345499.011.7.471> 461.2y = 1711x.999.3.345697.018.2.471> 386.9.911.11x.999.3.245697.214.3.497.1 -> 361.2y = 171.3x.999.4.345697.214.3.497.1 -> 361.3.y = 287.2x.993.3.245697.916.8.451.1 -> 475.0.y = 3651.x.998.7.345490.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 155.x.998.3.345490.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 3045.x.998.3.345497.9.284.363.1 -> 345.0.y = 3045.x.998.3.345497.9 </td |

Note: the transitions used are different for each ecdysteroid, but some may occur in the fragmentations of other ecdysteroids too (i.e. they are not fully specific).

^aTwo isomers (25R/25S) possible; the elution sequence has not been established for this HPLC system.

MW, molecular weight; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification.

FIGURE 2 Chromatogram of the separation of 17 ecdysteroid standards on an Acquity column (CSH; 150 mm \times 2.1 mm; 1.7 µm particle size) with a gradient of 0.1% (v/v) formic acid/acetonitrile in 0.1% (v/v) formic acid/water at 0.3 mL/min and 30°C, monitored at 254 nm. Details of the gradient are included in the text. The abbreviations for the ecdysteroids are given in Figure 1



acetonitrile in water (with 0.1% formic acid in both) over 6 min with isocratic elution at 100% acetonitrile for a further 4 min. Furthermore, 20E was monitored and quantified by selective reaction monitoring (SRM) (transition 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2) in comparison to a calibration curve prepared with pure reference 20E (5–5000 ng/mL). In the case that the amount of 20E detected exceeded the upper limit of the calibration curve (5 μ g/mL), the analysis was repeated at an appropriate dilution.

2.8 | Quantification of 17 ecdysteroids by HPLC-MS/MS

Samples of 20E-positive extracts (5 μ L, dissolved in 50% aqueous methanol and containing 20 ng 20E) were separated using the system described earlier. The relevant transitions for monitoring each of the ecdysteroids are given in Table 1.

2.9 | Identification of ecdysteroids by HPLC-DAD-MS

Samples (5 μ L, dissolved in 50% aqueous methanol and containing 0.1–0.25 μ g 20E) were separated on an analytical-scale (2.1 mm × 150 mm) 1.7 μ m Acquity CSH fluoro-phenyl RP column, supplied by Waters. The column was kept at 30°C. The following gradient system was used with water containing 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (solvent B): 0 min, 90%A/10%B; 25–25.5 min, 75%A/25%B; 35–35.5 min, 55%A/45%B; 36–37 min, 0%A/100%B, with linear gradients in the intervening periods. The reequilibration time at starting conditions was 10 min. The flow-rate was 0.15 mL/min. Acquisition of the mass spectral data between *m*/*z* 100 and 700 was performed in the positive- and negative-ion electrospray modes.

2.10 | Sensitivity of detection of ecdysteroids in biological samples

The 100% methanol RP-SPE (solid-phase extraction) fractions of seed extracts of *Silene laciniata angustifolia* (0.40 mg 20E/g), *Silene multiflora* (2.85 mg 20E/g), *Ourisia macrophylla* (9.1 mg 20E/g), *Serratula coronata* (18.4 mg 20E/g) and *Chenopodium giganteum* (0.56 mg 20E/g) were prepared as serial two-fold dilutions in 50% aqueous methanol and 5 μ L aliquots separated for analysis by HPLC-DAD/-MS. The quality and information content of the UV-and MS-spectra for each ecdysteroid peak were compared for each dilution to identify at which dilution it became impossible to record reliable spectra. These seed extracts were selected to represent those containing moderate, medium, high and very high levels of total ecdysteroids relative to other components of the biological matrix, and to contain a range of other ecdysteroids in addition to 20E.

2.11 | Strategy for identification and quantification of ecdysteroids in seed extracts

Seeds were micro-extracted and RP-SPE purified as described earlier. An aliquot (5 μ L) of the 100% methanol SPE fraction was assessed in triplicate for the amount of 20E present by HPLC-MS/MS. If the quantification was above the range of the calibration curve (5 μ g/mL), the quantification was repeated after appropriate dilution of the sample. For the 20E-containing extracts, a sample of each corresponding to 20 ng 20E/5 μ L was prepared and separated by HPLC-MS/MS for the separation and quantification of the 17 ecdysteroids, monitoring all the relevant transitions (Table 1), which permitted quantification of those 17 ecdysteroids (when present) and recognition of peaks possessing those transitions, but at other retention times than those of the reference ecdysteroids, which could indicate the presence of additional ecdysteroids. Samples of the ecdysteroid-positive extracts were then prepared at a known concentration of between 20 and 50 µg 20E/mL and 5 µL was separated by HPLC-DAD-MS to obtain UVand MS-spectra for each peak at the retention times of the known ecdysteroids and to those of the other peaks demonstrating an ecdysteroid-related transition. Data were tabulated to assess conformity of the retention time, UV-spectrum and mass spectral information ([M + H]⁺, [M + Na]⁺ and number of sequential H₂O molecules lost from the pseudo-molecular ion in positive-ion electrospray mode) with the putative identity of the ecdysteroid, or to predict the possible identity in the case of unknown peaks. In the latter cases, Ecdybase³ was searched for all known ecdysteroids with the same molecular weight (MW) and these were assessed for suitability on the basis of λ_{max} , number of hydroxyl groups and predicted polarity. Candidate reference ecdysteroids were then separated in the same system and the UV-/MS-spectra and retention times were compared with those of the peaks in the biological extracts.

2.12 | Further reference ecdysteroids

To assist in the identification of unknown ecdysteroids present in seed extracts, the retention times, UV- and MS-spectra of a further 17 ecdysteroids were determined by HPLC-DAD-MS (Supporting Information Table S2).

2.13 | Statistics

Ecdysteroid quantifications were performed in triplicate and are expressed as means \pm standard deviation (SD).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Method validation

It was found, by spiking samples with known amounts of 20E, that quantification of the 50% ethanol extracts by HPLC-MS/MS was not fully additive, indicating that the sample matrix depressed quantification. Addition of a straightforward and fast RP-SPE partial purification step markedly increased the level of 20E detected in ecdysteroidpositive samples and provided additivity.

The method was finally validated with a seed extract of *Chenopodium quinoa*, which has been previously extensively studied for its content and profile of ecdysteroids.^{14,15} Previous studies had shown that the seeds contain ca. 0.4 mg 20E/g as the clearly predominant ecdysteroid, with significant amounts of MakA, 24(28)-dehydroMakA, 24-*epi*-MakA and PolB, smaller amounts of MakC, 2d20E, 2d2026E and traces of several other identified ecdysteroids.¹⁴ The qualitative and quantitative analyses of seeds of *Chenopodium quinoa* by the method developed here are described later.

——WILEY–

3.2 | Sensitivity of ecdysteroid detection in seed extracts

The lowest levels of 20E, PolB, AjuC, 2026E and IntA which gave useful UV-spectra were similar, being 0.5 to 1.5 ng injected, reflecting the identical chromophoric groups and similar MWs in these ecdysteroids. The amounts required to give fully usable mass spectra ($[M + H]^+$ and/or $[M + Na]^+$ and sequential dehydration from $[M + H]^+$) were somewhat higher in the range of 1.2 to 3.5 ng, with PolB being most readily detected (1.2 ng) and 2026E (3 ng) and IntA (3.5 ng) being the least readily detected of these ecdysteroids. The sensitivity of detection by both methods did not vary noticeably in relation to the concentration of total ecdysteroids in the seeds, but this was assessed after partial purification after RP-SPE as prior studies had shown that quantification by HPLC-MS is suppressed by the biological matrix unless SPE is performed (see earlier).

3.3 | Analysis of seed extracts

3.3.1 | The method

Micro-analysis methods were developed about 20 years ago for assessment of the presence/absence of ecdysteroids in small samples of seeds, based on micro-extractions coupled with off-line qualitative/quantitative assessment with an ecdysteroid-specific insect cell-based assay (detecting the presence of compound with biological activity similar to 20E) and ecdysteroid-specific radioimmunoassays (RIAs) (for structural similarity to 20E),^{16,17} which permitted the screening of ca. 5000 species of plants.^{12,13,17} While this approach was a considerable methodological advance on what had been previously possible in terms of useful information obtained per man-hour, it required three dedicated and skilled researchers to catalogue the plant material, coordinate the extractions and analyses, and process and analyse the data. Time and technology have moved on and HPLC-DAD-MS offers the possibility for the on-line analysis of ecdysteroid profiles and guantification with sequential and continuous automated delivery of extracts of many samples, providing physico-chemical data (retention time UV- and MS-spectra) for each separated major component and the potential to quantify selected identified components (by UV, MS or MS/MS).

3.3.2 | Identification of ecdysteroid-positive species

More than half (148/215; Tables 2 and 3) of the species tested here are not recorded in the 'Compilation of the literature reports for the screening of vascular plants, algae, fungi and non-arthropod invertebrates for the presence of ecdysteroids: Version 5' (Ecdybase³), so whether they contain ecdysteroids or not has not been previously published. Among the 55 selected species (Table 2), seeds were found to be ecdysteroid-positive for 23 species. Most of the positive species belong to the Caryophyllaceae (in the genera Lychnis and Silene; 19 positive from 38 tested), which accords with the previous finding that about 50% of the species in this large family are ecdysteroid-positive.¹⁸ The 20E levels in the positive species vary from very low (Silene alpestris, Lychnis yunnanensis) to rather high (Silene otites). Seeds of two of the species in the Asteraceae (Leuzea carthamoides and Serratula coronata) contain high levels of ecdysteroid, but the Cyanus species are all negative, as are the seven species in the Ranunculaceae and both tested species of Amaranthus. Of the 160 randomly selected species six (4%) were found to be positive for 20E, which is slightly lower to the 5-6% found to be positive when screening with ecdysteroid-specific RIA and bioassay,¹⁷ but this is to be expected because the frequently positive genera Silene and Lychnis were excluded from the otherwise random selection. Overall there is excellent agreement with previous findings (Ecdybase) as to whether these species are ecdysteroid-positive or not.

3.3.3 | Comparison between the HPLC-MS/MS data and previous RIA data

Tables 2 and 3 incorporate data obtained for seeds of many of the same species (112/215 species) previously obtained after assessment of 70% methanolic micro-extracts with the DBL-1 antiserum, which is ecdysteroid-specific, but recognises various ecdysteroid analogues to different extents (cross-reactivities are given in Dinan¹⁷) and it, importantly, has a greater affinity for E than 20E, whereas the HPLC-MS/MS data in the two tables guantifies 20E specifically. Thus, comparison of the results of the two methods should be largely qualitative and can, at best, only be viewed semi-quantitatively. Further, the two data sets derive from seed samples from different suppliers. With these caveats in mind, the two data sets concord very well, with only a few species showing marked differences. Silene multiflora is clearly positive by HPLC-MS/MS, but was negative by RIA. Previous studies by others present contradictory results for this species, as two report it as ecdysteroid-positive,^{19,20} while two found it to be ecdysteroidnegative,^{18,21} implying variation according to the source of the plant material. Similarly, Atriplex hortensis was found to be negative for 20E by HPLC-MS/MS, while analysis of 13 separate samples by RIA revealed five to be significantly positive and eight to be negative.²² A sample of seeds of Cucubalus baccifer had previously been found to be negative by RIA, but a separate sample was positive by HPLC-MS/MS, which is in accord with results obtained by others for plants of this species.^{23, 24} This is also the case for Sida cordifolia, since an earlier study had detected ecdysteroids in plants of this species.²⁵

3.3.4 | Ecdysteroid profiles in seeds of ecdysteroid-positive species

The qualitative and quantitative findings are summarised in Supporting Information Table S1, combining the data from HPLC-MS/MS and HPLC-DAD-MS analyses. HPLC chromatograms for six TABLE 2 Assessment of seeds of species in selected plant genera for the presence of ecdysteroids by HPLC-MS/MS

| Species | Family | Common name | Ecdybase ^a | Exeter survey ^b | 20E µg/g by HPLC-MS/MS | Other ecdysteroids identified |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Amaranthus caudatus | Amaranthaceae | Love-lies-bleeding | 1 | - | _ | |
| A. gangeticus | Amaranthaceae | Elephant-head amaranth | 1 | 2.8 | - | |
| Centaurea cyanus | Asteraceae | Cornflower | ✓ | - | _ | |
| C. macrocephala | Asteraceae | Giant knapweed | 1 | - | - | |
| C. ruthenica | Asteraceae | Russian knapweed | 1 | - | _ | |
| C. uralensis | Asteraceae | | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Leuzea carthamoides | Asteraceae | Maral root | 1 | 731 | 7190 | PolB, AjuC, IntA |
| Lychnis alpina (Silene suecica) | Caryophyllaceae | Arctic campion | 1 | - | _ | |
| L. x arkwrightii | Caryophyllaceae | Arkwright's campion | 1 | 1170 | 4166 | PolB, E |
| L. chalcedonica | Caryophyllaceae | Maltese-cross | 1 | 1054 | 1652 | PolB, IntA, tax |
| L. coronaria | Caryophyllaceae | Rose campion | 1 | 521 | 3750 | PolB, IntA |
| L. flos-cuculi | Caryophyllaceae | Ragged robin | 1 | 1375/287 | 3547 | PolB, IntA |
| L. flos-jovis | Caryophyllaceae | Flower of Jove | 1 | 647 | 1253 | PolB, 2026E |
| L. viscaria (Silene viscaria) | Caryophyllaceae | Sticky catchfly | 1 | 14 | _ | |
| L. yunnanensis | Caryophyllaceae | | 1 | - | 51 | |
| Ourisia macrophylla | Plantaginaceae | Mountain foxglove | 1 | 2800 | 9143 | PolB, 2026E, Tax |
| Paris quadrifolia | Melanthiaceae | Herb Paris | 1 | 2796 | 3952 | PolB |
| Serratula coronata | Asteraceae | | 1 | 602 | 18430 | PolB, AjuC, IntA |
| Silene alpestris | Caryophyllaceae | Alpine catchfly | 1 | 2.3 | 9 | Not analysed |
| S. armeria | Caryophyllaceae | Sweet William catchfly | 1 | - | - | |
| S. asterias | Caryophyllaceae | Cherry drumsticks | 1 | - | _ | |
| S. atigraca | Caryophyllaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| S. atropurpurea | Caryophyllaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| S. bellidiodes | Caryophyllaceae | | 1 | - | _ | |
| S. caroliniana | Caryophyllaceae | Carolina pink | 1 | - | - | |
| S. compacta | Caryophyllaceae | Rock campion | 1 | Nt | - | |
| S. delavayi | Caryophyllaceae | | 1 | Nt | 1437 | Тах |
| S. dioica | Caryophyllaceae | Red campion | 1 | - | - | |
| S. fimbriata | Caryophyllaceae | Fringed campion | Npp | Nt | 391 | PolB |
| S. hookeri | Caryophyllaceae | Hooker's campion | Npp | Nt | 630 | PolB, 2026E |
| S. italica | Caryophyllaceae | Italian catchfly | 1 | 1086 | 2844 | PolB, IntA,2d20E, 2026E |
| S. keiskei | Caryophyllaceae | Japanese campion | 1 | 200 | 2107 | PolB |
| S. laciniata angustifolia | Caryophyllaceae | Cardinal catchfly | 1 | 2000 | 396 | PolB, 2026E |
| S. latifolia | Caryophyllaceae | White campion | 1 | 0.4 | _ | |
| S. lerchenfeldiana | Caryophyllaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| S. maritima (S. uniflora) | Caryophyllaceae | Sea campion | 1 | - | _ | |
| S. mexicana | Caryophyllaceae | Mexican catchfly | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| S. multiflora | Caryophyllaceae | | 1 | - | 2847 | IntA, PolB, Abu, 2026E |
| S. nutans | Caryophyllaceae | Nottingham catchfly | ✓ | 1147 | 4963 | PolB, IntA, 2026E |
| S. otites | Caryophyllaceae | Spanish catchfly | 1 | 260/2688 | 9038 | 2d20E, IntA |
| S. pusilla | Caryophyllaceae | Alpine catchfly | 1 | 4.4 | - | |
| S. saxifraga | Caryophyllaceae | Saxifrage catchfly | 1 | 250 | 1054 | PolB, IntA |

DINAN ET AL.

ILEY-

⁽Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Species | Family | Common name | Ecdybase ^a | Exeter survey ^b | 20E μg/g by HPLC-MS/MS | Other ecdysteroids identified |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S. schafta | Caryophyllaceae | Autumn catchfly | 1 | 586 | 1299 | PolB, Abu, IntA |
| S. suecica (Lychnis alpina) | Caryophyllaceae | Red alpine catchfly | 1 | - | - | |
| S. viridiflora | Caryophyllaceae | | 1 | Nt | 1925 | PolB, 2026E, IntA |
| S. viscaria (Lychnis viscaria) | Caryophyllaceae | Sticky catchfly | 1 | 14 | - | |
| S. waldsteinii (S. clavata, S. marocarpa) | Caryophyllaceae | Waldstein's campion | 1 | Nt | _ | |
| S. zawadskii | Caryophyllaceae | Zawadski's catchfly | 1 | - | - | |
| Trollius chinensis | Ranunculaceae | Jin lian hua | 1 | - | _ | |
| T. europaeus | Ranunculaceae | European globeflower | 1 | 0.5 | - | |
| T. ircuticus | Ranunculaceae | Siberian globeflower | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| T. laxus | Ranunculaceae | American globeflower | 1 | - | - | |
| T. pumilus | Ranunculaceae | Dwarf globeflower | 1 | 1.0 | _ | |
| T. vaginatus | Ranunculaceae | | Npp | Nt | - | |
| T. yunnanensis | Ranunculaceae | Chinese globeflower | Npp | Nt | _ | |

^aEcdybase³ contains a compilation of the literature reports for the occurrence of ecdysteroids in plant species. Entries can refer to any part (or all) of the plant; \checkmark = an entry for the species exists in the database: Npp = nothing previously published.

^{*}The Exeter Survey (1995–2001) screened the seeds of ca. 5000 species of plants for the presence of ecdysteroids by means of an ecdysteroid-responsive cell-based bioassay (to detect ecdysteroid biological activity) and up to three ecdysteroid-specific RIAs (to detect for chemical similarity to ecdysteroids). The data for the DBL-1 antiserum are presented here and where values are given they correspond to the μ g E equivalent/g seed (where multiple values are given, they correspond to the results for replicate seed samples); — = none detected; Nt = not tested. Note: the data for the Exeter Survey and the current HPLC-DAD-MS data derive from separate seed samples from different suppliers.

of the species are presented in Figure 3. The results are briefly discussed below in relation to plant family, species and previous literature.

Agapanthus praecox (Amaryllidaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 1.2 mg/g), but unusually E is the major ecdysteroid in a complex profile in which 20E could be conclusively identified and Abu and Turk are tentatively identified. Several unidentified ecdysteroids are also present. The complex ecdysteroid profile, containing a limited amount of 20E had already been indicated by RP-HPLC-RIA for seeds of this species.²⁶

Chenopodium giganteum (Amaranthaceae)

Seeds of *Chenopodium giganteum* contain moderate to low levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 900 μ g/g), with 20E, PolB and 2026E being definitely identified by HPLC-DAD-MS and MakA and 24(28)-dehydroMakA being identified by co-chromatoraphy with further reference ecdysteroids. A previous report had detected between 0.48–1.03 mg E equivalent/g by RIA in three samples of seeds of *Chenopodium giganteum*.²²

Chenopodium quinoa (Amaranthaceae)

The seed of the sample of *Chenopodium quinoa* examined contained low amounts of ecdysteroids (ca. 75 μ g/g). HPLC-MS/MS revealed the presence of 20E as the major ecdysteroid (67.5 μ g/g) with much smaller amounts of PoIB (5 μ g/g) and 20,26E (1.6 μ g/g; first eluting isomer only). HPLC-DAD-MS identified a further ecdysteroid peak (ca. 10 μg/g), corresponding to MakA and/or 24-*epi*-MakA which coelute in the RP-HPLC system used and have identical UV- and MSspectra. Ecdysteroid levels vary significantly in seeds of *Chenopodium quinoa* depending on origin and cultivar.^{14, 22,27} Previous examination of *Chenopodium quinoa* seeds¹⁵ identified 20E as the major ecdysteroid, with smaller amounts of MakA, 24-*epi*-MakA, 24(28)dehydroMakA and MakC. PolB and 20,26E, along with other analogues, have also been identified as minor ecdysteroids in seeds of this species.^{14, 27}

Cucubalus baccifer (Caryophyllaceae)

Moderate levels of ecdysteroid (ca. 1.4 mg/g) are present in seeds of this species, with 20E being the major component along with much smaller amounts of PoIB, IntA and Tax. From the whole plant of *Cucubalus baccifer*, 20E (0.0014% of the dry weight), 24(28)-dehydroMakA, Tax, 25-hydroxypanuosterone, rubrosterone and 2,22-dideoxy-3 β -glucoside had previously been detected.²³

Ipheion uniflorum (Amaryllidaceae)

Although seeds of this species contain only low levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 50 μ g/g), it was possible to identify and quantify not only 20E as the major ecdysteroid present (47 μ g/g), but also PoIB (2.4 μ g/g) and 2d20E (3.0 μ g/g) as the minor ones. A previous study²⁸ had identified 20E (0.012% of the fresh weight) from bulbs of this species.

TABLE 3 Assessment of seeds of randomly-selected plant species of other genera for the presence of ecdysteroids by HPLC-MS/MS

| Species | Family | Common namo | Ecdubacaaa | Evotor cupiov ^{bb} | 20E µg/g by HPLC- MS/MS | Other ecdysteroids |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Abelmanelus acculente | Fairing | | Ecuybase | Exeter survey | 1013/1013 | luentineu |
| Abelmoschus esculenta | Malvaceae | Okra, ladies' fingers | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Acaena saccaticupula | Rosaceae | Blue goose leaf | мрр | - | - | |
| Acer palmatum | Sapindaceae | Japanese maple | Npp | - | - | |
| Aconitum vulparia | Ranunculaceae | Wolf's bane | <i>✓</i> | - | - | |
| Acrocarpus fraxinifolius | Fabaceae | Pink cedar | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Agapanthus praecox | Amaryllidaceae | African lily | 1 | 1.5/1.7/0.6/-/3.8 | 517 | E, Abu?, Turk? |
| Agastache foeniculum | Labiatae | Blue giant hyssop | 1 | - | - | |
| Alliaria petiolata | Brassicaceae | Jack-by-the-hedge | Npp | - | - | |
| Allium ampeloprasum | Amaryllidaceae | Wild leek | Npp | Nt | - | |
| A. canadense | Amaryllidaceae | Canadian garlic | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Amelanchier alnifolia | Rosaceae | Pacific serviceberry | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Anemonopsis macrophylla | Ranunculaceae | False anemone | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Annona muricata | Annonaceae | Soursop | Npp | _ | _ | |
| Anthriscus cerefolium | Apiaceae | Chervil | Npp | - | _ | |
| Anthyllis montana rubra (Vulneraria montana) | Fabaceae | Mountain kidney vetch | Npp | _ | _ | |
| A. vulneraria | Fabaceae | Woundwort | Npp | _ | - | |
| Aquilegia skinneri | Ranunculaceae | Mexican columbine | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Arabis collina rosea (A. muralis) | Brassicaceae | Arabette des collines | Npp | Nt | - | |
| A. cypria | Brassicaceae | | Npp | _ | - | |
| A. pumila | Brassicaceae | Dwarf rockcress | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Aralia cachemirica | Araliaceae | Kashmir aralia | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Artemesia dracunculus | Asteraceae | Tarragon | 1 | - | - | |
| Asparagus acutifolius | Asparagaceae | Wild asparagus | Npp | Nt | - | |
| A. myriocladus | Asparagaceae | Ming fern | Npp | Nt | - | |
| A. verticillatus | Asparagaceae | Climbing asparagus | 1 | _ | - | |
| Atriplex hortensis rubra | Amaranthaceae | Garden orache | ✓ | 186/460/799/991/ 1113/ _/_/_/_/_ /_/_ | - | |
| Averrhoa carambola | Oxalidaceae | Carambola, star fruit | 1 | Nt | _ | |
| Barbarea verna | Brassicaceae | Land cress | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Blechnum chilense | Blechnaceae | Chilean hard fern | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Bloomeria crocea | Asparagaceae | Goldenstar | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Boweia volubilis | Asparagaceae | Sea onion | Npp | - | - | |
| Boykinia jamesii (Telesonix jamesii) | Saxifragaceae | Alumroot brookfoam | Npp | - | _ | |
| Brugmansia suaveolens | Solanaceae | White angel trumpet | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Caltha palustris | Ranunculaceae | Kingcup | 1 | - | - | |
| Camassia quamash | Asparagaceae | Camas | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Campanula carpatica | Campanulaceae | Carpathain harebell | Npp | - | - | |
| C. makaschvilii | Campanulaceae | Makaschvili's bellflower | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Carex trifida | Cypeaceae | New Zealand sedge | 1 | - | - | |
| Carum carvi | Apiaceae | Caraway | Npp | _ | _ | |
| Carya illinoinensis | Juglandaceae | Pecan | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Castilleja miniata | Orobanchaceae | Giant red Indian paintbrush | Npp | _ | _ | |

10

WILEY-

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| _Wiley⊥ | 11 |
|---------|----|
|---------|----|

| Species | Family | Common name | Ecdybase ^{aa} | Exeter survey ^{bb} | 20E μg/g by HPLC- MS/MS | Other ecdysteroids identified |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Centaurium scilloides | Gentianaceae | Perennial centaury | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Cephalotaxus fortunei | Cephalotaxaceae | Chinese plum yew | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Chaerophyllum bulbosum | Apiaceae | Parsnip chervil | Npp | - | _ | |
| Chenopodium giganteum | Amaranthaceae | Tree spinach | 1 | 1697 | 559 | PolB, 2026E, MakA, 24(28)-dehydroMakA |
| C. quinoa | Amaranthaceae | Quinoa | 1 | 456/612/769/ 955/1292 | 67.5 | PolB, 2026E, MakA/24-epi-MakA |
| Chionochloa rubra | Poaceae | Red tussock grass | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Colchicum neapolitanum | Colchicaceae | Autumn crocus | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Commelina coelestis | Asparagaceae | Blue spider wort | 1 | - | - | |
| C. dianthifolia | Asparagaceae | Birdbill dayflower | Npp | - | - | |
| C. virginica | Asparagaceae | Virginia dayflower | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Cucubalus baccifer | Caryophyllaceae | Berry-bearing catchfly | 1 | - | 1340 | PolB, IntA, tax |
| Cypripedium parviflorum pubescens | Orchidaceae | Yellow lady's slipper | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Dactylorhiza fuchsii | Orchidaceae | Common spotted orchid | Npp | - | _ | |
| Danae racemosa | Asparagaceae | Alexandrian laurel | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Dianthus monspessulanus | Caryophyllaceae | Fringed pink | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Digitalis davisiana | Plantaginaceae | Davis' foxglove | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Diospyros lotus | Ebenaceae | Date plum | Npp | - | - | |
| Disporum smithii | Colchicaceae | Smith's fairy bell | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Echinops tienschanicus | Asteraceae | | Npp | - | _ | |
| Echium pininana | Boraginaceae | Giant viper's bugloss | Npp | - | _ | |
| Edraianthus pumilio | Campanulaceae | Silvery dwarf harebell | Npp | - | _ | |
| Epilobium angustifolium | Onagraceae | Rosebay willowherb | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Erysimum perofskianum | Brassicaceae | Afghan bittercress | Npp | - | - | |
| Euphorbia characias wulfenii | Euphorbiaceae | Mediterranean spurge | Npp | _ | - | |
| E. cognata | Euphorbiaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| E. myrsinites | Euphorbiaceae | Myrtle spurge | Npp | - | _ | |
| Gentiana asclepiadea | Gentianaceae | Willow gentian | Npp | - | - | |
| G. tibetica | Gentianaceae | | Npp | - | - | |
| Geranium renardii | Geraniaceae | Renard geranium | Npp | Nt | - | |
| G. versicolor | Geraniaceae | Pencilled crane's-bill | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Globularia bisnagarica | Plantaginaceae | Common ball flower | Npp | Nt | - | |
| G. repens | Plantaginaceae | Globulaire rampants | Npp | Nt | - | |
| G. trichosantha | Plantaginaceae | Blue globe daisy | Npp | Nt | - | |
| G. valentina | Plantaginaceae | Globulaire de valence | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Haplopappus rehderi | Asteraceae | Rehders Scheinsonnenhut | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Helleborus foetidus | Ranunculaceae | Stinking hellebore | 1 | 0.6 | - | |
| Hemerocallis lilioasphodelus (H. flava) | Asphodelaceae | Yellow daylily | Npp | Nt | - | |
| H. middendorfii | Asphodelaceae | Amur daylily | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Heuchera americana | Saxifragaceae | American alumroot | Npp | - | - | |
| Holboellia coriacea | Lardizabalaceae | Sausage vine | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Hylocereus undatus | Cactaceae | White-fleshed pitahaya | Npp | Nt | - | |

¹² WILEY-

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Species | Family | Common name | Fedybase ^{aa} | Eveter survey ^{bb} | 20E µg/g by HPLC- MS/MS | Other ecdysteroids |
|---|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Hyporicacoao | St. John's wort | / | | 1013/1013 | lacitation |
| | Amanulidasaaa | Spring starflower | v / | 0.7 | 471 | Dolp 2420E |
| Ipomoca lindheimeri | Convuluulaceae | Lindhoimar's morning-glony | V Non | Nt | 47.1 | F01D, 2020L |
| | Leidacoao | Lindheimer's morning-giory | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Ins vicaria | Indaceae | | мрр | NL | — | |
| 1. warieyensis | Iridaceae | | мрр | Nt | - | |
| Jasione laevis (J. perennis) | Campanulaceae | Sheep's bit scabious | мрр | - | _ | |
| Kitaibelia vitifolia | Malvaceae | Chalice flower | мрр | - | - | |
| Kniphofia typhoides | Asphodelaceae | Brown poker | мрр | Nt | - | |
| Lachenalia reflexa | Asparagaceae | Yellow soldier | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Lansium parasiticum | Meliaceae | Langsat | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Lathyrus sativus | Fabaceae | Grass pea | Npp | - | - | |
| L. tuberosus | Fabaceae | Earthnut pea | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Leibnitzia anandria (Gerbera anandria) | Asteraceae | Leibnitz lily | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Leopoldia caucasica | Asparagaceae | Grape hyacinth | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Libertia chilensis | Iridaceae | Satin flower | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Linaria purpurea | Plantaginaceae | Purple toadflax | Npp | - | - | |
| Litchi chinensis | Sapindaceae | Lychee | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Lupinus chamissonis | Fabaceae | Dune bush lupine | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Lycium barbarum | Solanaceae | Himalayan goji | 1 | _ | _ | |
| Lysmachia clethroides | Primulaceae | Gooseneck loosestrife | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Magnolia champaca | Magnoliaceae | Champak | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Matricaria chamomile | Asteraceae | Chamomile | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Meconopsis superba | Papaveraceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Melothria scabra | Cucurbitaceae | Mouse melon | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Morinda citrifolia | Rubiaceae | Noni | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Muscari leucostomum | Asparagaceae | Grape hyacinth | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Myrciaria cauliflora | Myrtaceae | Brazilian grapetree | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Nectaroscordum siculum (Allium siculum) | Amaryllidaceae | Scicilian honey garlic | Npp | - | - | |
| Nicotiana langsdorffii | Solanaceae | Langdorff's tobacco | 1 | _ | - | |
| Oxytropis vicida (O. viscidula) | Fabaceae | Viscid locoweed | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Paeonia delavayi | Paeoniaceae | Delavay poppy | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Papaver nudicaule (P. croceum, P. amurense, P. macounii) | Papaveraceae | Iceland poppy | Npp | - | - | |
| P. sendtneri | Papaveraceae | Sendtner's alpine poppy | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Paradisea lusitanica | Asparagaceae | | Npp | - | - | |
| Passiflora quadrangularis | Passifloraceae | Giant granadilla | Npp | - | - | |
| Penstemon alpinus (P. glaber var. alpinus) | Plantaginaceae | Alpine sawsepal | Npp | Nt | - | |
| P. lyallii | Plantaginaceae | Lyall's beardtongue | Npp | - | _ | |
| Phoenix dactylifera | Arecaceae | Date palm | Npp | - | - | |
| Phyllanthus acidus | Phyllanthaceae | Malay gooseberry | Npp | Nt | _ | |

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| -WIL | EY <u>13</u> |
|------|--------------|
|------|--------------|

| | | | | r bb | 20E μg/g by HPLC- | Other ecdysteroids |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Species | Family | Common name | Ecdybase | Exeter survey | M5/M5 | identified |
| Physalis ixocarpa | Solanaceae | Tomatillo | 1 | - | - | |
| Phytolacca americana | Phytolaccaceae | Pokeweed | 1 | 0.3 | - | |
| Plantago coronopus | Plantaginaceae | Buck's-horn platain | Npp | - | - | |
| Primula heucherifolia | Primulaceae | Heuchera primrose | Npp | Nt | - | |
| P. pulverulenta | Primulaceae | Candelabra primrose | Npp | - | _ | |
| P. vialii | Primulaceae | Orchid primrose | Npp | - | - | |
| Prostanthera cuneata | Lamiaceae | Alpine mint bush | Npp | - | - | |
| Protea coronata | Proteaceae | Green sugarbush | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Prunus armeniaca | Rosaceae | Apricot | Npp | _ | - | |
| P. avium | Rosaceae | Wild cherry | Npp | _ | - | |
| Pulsatilla halleri (Anemone halleri) | Ranunculaceae | Haller's anemone | 1 | - | - | |
| Punica granatum | Lythraceae | Pomegranate | Npp | _ | _ | |
| Puya chilensis | Bromeliaceae | | Npp | Nt | - | |
| P. coerulea | Bromeliaceae | Pink torch | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Rheum moorcroftiana | Polygonaceae | Moorcroft's rhubarb | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Ribes nigrum | Grossulariaceae | Blackcurrant | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Robinia pseudoacacia | Fabaceae | Black locust | Npp | - | _ | |
| Roscoea scillifolia | Zingiberaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Rubus ludwigii | Rosaceae | Silver bramble | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Ruscus aculeatus | Asparagaceae | Butcher's-broom | Npp | _ | _ | |
| Sedum verticillatum (Hylotelephium verticillatum) | Crassulaceae | Stonecrop | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Senecio polyodon | Asteraceae | | Npp | 7.1 | _ | |
| Sida cordifolia | Malvaceae | Bala | 1 | - | 3926 | PolB, Abu |
| Sidalcea candida | Malvaceae | Prairie mallow | Npp | _ | 0.87 | |
| Solanum melanocerasum | Solanaceae | Garden huckleberry | 1 | 1.1 | - | |
| S. villosum | Solanaceae | Hairy nightshade | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Stachys macrantha | Lamiaceae | Big betony | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Stipa calamagrostis (Achnatherum calamagrostis) | Poaceae | Spear grass | Npp | Nt | - | |
| S. lessingiana | Poaceae | Feather grass | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Swertia kingii | Gentianaceae | | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Talinum calycinum | Talinaceae | Flameflower | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Trichosanthes cucumerina | Cucurbitaceae | Snake gourd | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| T. tricuspidata | Cucurbitaceae | Bitter snake gourd | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Tropaeolum majus | Tropaeolaceae | Garden nasturtium | Npp | _ | _ | |
| T. minus | Tropaeolaceae | Dwarf nasturtium | Npp | Nt | - | |
| T. polyphyllum | Tropaeolaceae | Wreath nasturtium | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Veronica spicata | Plantaginaceae | Spike speedwell | Npp | - | - | |
| Vigna radiata | Fabaceae | Mung bean | Npp | Nt | _ | |
| Weigela florida | Caprifoliaceae | Old-fashioned weigela | Npp | Nt | - | |
| Wyethia angustifolia | Asteraceae | Narrowleaf mule-ears | Npp | Nt | _ | |

^aSee the corresponding footnote to Table 2.

 $^{\mathrm{b}}\mathsf{See}$ the corresponding footnote to Table 2.



(A) Chenopodium giganteum



(B) Ipheion uniflorum



(C) Lychnis coronaria



FIGURE 3 RP-HPLC chromatograms of extracts of the seeds of selected ecdysteroid-positive species with UV-monitoring at 254 nm: (A) *Chenopodium giganteum*; (B) *Ipheion uniflorum*; (C) *Lychnis coronaria*; (D) *Sida cordifolia*; (E) *Silene hookeri*; (F) *Silene multiflora*. The chromatographic conditions are the same as those described in the legend of Figure 2. Peaks resulting from the presence of ecdysteroids are labelled with the abbreviated name or '?', Indicating unknown ecdysteroid. Shaded peaks correspond to those for which no evidence was obtained that they are ecdysteroidal

Leuzea carthamoides (Asteraceae)

Leuzea carthamoides is a recognised high producer of ecdysteroids, and this study identified 20E (7.2 mg/g), PoIB (1.5 mg/g), AjuC (0.42 mg/g) and IntA conclusively in seeds. A further probable ecdysteroid (MW = 480) eluted at 24.5 min, and a peak for the transition 481.1 \rightarrow 445.0 is observed at 20.6 min. Previous studies have focussed on the ecdysteroids present in the rhizome/root, as this is the portion of the plant which is used medicinally, and over 50 ecdysteroid analogues have been isolated and identified. However,

20E, E, lesterone, rapisterones B, C and D, rapisterone D 20-acetate, 24(28)-dehydroamarasterone B, 24(28)-dehydroMakA, PolB 22-benzoate and carmathosterones A and B have been previously isolated from seeds (reviewed in Kokoska and Janovska²⁹).

Lychnis arkwrightii (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds contain moderate to high levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 8.4 mg/g), with 20E (4.2 mg/g) and PolB (3.8 mg/g) being present in almost equal amounts and with about one-tenth of the amount as E (0.4 mg/g).

(D) Sida cordifololia



(E) Silene hookeri



(F) Silene multiflora



FIGURE 3 (Continued)

Assessment of ecdysteroid levels in seeds of this species by RIA with the DBL1 antiserum gave 1.17 mg E equivalent/g 21 and 20E has been identified from the plant. 30

Lychnis chalcedonica (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (4.1 mg/g) with PolB (2.0 mg/g) and 20E (1.7 mg/g) predominating. IntA (0.35 mg/g) and Tax (0.13 mg/g) were also identified. RIA (with the the DBL1 antiserum) assessed ecdysteroid levels at 1.1 mg E equivalent/g ²¹ and 20E, PolB, IntA, Ptero, 24(28)-dehydroMakA, E, viticosterone E (20E 25Ac) and stachysterone D have been isolated from plants of this species.³⁰

Lychnis coronaria (Caryophyllaceae)

Here, 20E, PoIB and IntA could be conclusively identified in the moderately high accumulating seeds of this species (total = ca. 4.4 mg/g), with 20E clearly being the predominant analogue present (3.75 mg/g), with smaller amounts of PoIB (664 μ g/g) and IntA. Ecdysteroid levels were assessed as 582 μ g/g by RIA using the DBL1 antiserum.²¹ The ecdysteroid profiles in seeds or plants of this species do not appear to have been investigated previously.

Lychnis flos-cuculi (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species accumulate moderate to high levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 8.2 mg/g), mainly as PoIB (4.6 mg/g) and 20E

(3.6 mg/g). IntA could also be conclusively identified, but not quantified owing to the high LOQ for this analogue in the HPLC-MS/MS method (Table 1). Previous analysis of plant material of *Lychnis floscuculi* identified 20E (0.17% of the dry weight) and PolB as the major ecdysteroids present.³¹ More extensive analysis of plants of this species³² identified nine further (minor) ecdysteroids, but IntA was not amongst them, indicating that seeds possess a simpler, and different, profile.

Lychnis flos-jovi (Caryophyllaceae)

⊥WILEY-

Moderate levels of 20E (1.3 mg/g) together with lower levels of PoIB (0.38 mg/g) and 2026E (0.05 mg/g) could be conclusively identified, giving a total of 1.68 mg ecdysteroids/g. The ecdysteroid levels in two batches of seeds were found previously to be 647 and 712 μ g E equivalent/g by RIA with the DBL1 antiserum²¹ and 20E has been isolated from the plant.³⁰

Ourisia macrophylla (Scrophulariaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 0.98 mg/g), of which 93% is 20E. The rest is composed of PoIB (301 μ g/g), 2026E (324 μ g/g; first- and second-eluting isomers in the ratio 6:4) and Tax (50 μ g/g), the presence of all of which could be confirmed. The identification of the three more major ecdysteroids corresponds to previous results for seeds of this species.³³

Paris quadrifolia (Melanthaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 7.7 mg/g) which are almost equally divided between 20E and PoIB. 20E and PoIB have previously been identified from whole plants of this species.^{34, 35}

Serratula coronata (Asteraceae)

Ecdysteroids are accumulated to a very high extent in seeds of Serratula coronata (ca. 30 mg/g; 3%), of which 63% is 20E, 25.5% is PolB and 11.2% is AjuC. The presence of IntA could also be confirmed, and some evidence is presented for the presence of Dacry and Tax, although the identities of these last two need confirmation. Growing plants of Serratula coronata and juice pressed from growing plants have been extensively studied for their ecdysteroid content, but the profile of ecdysteroids in seeds of this plant does not appear to have been published previously. The most abundant ecdysteroids isolated from pressed juice from aerial portions of the plant³⁶ were 20E (1.5% of the dry weight of aerial portions), E (0.13%), PolB (0.07%), AjuC (0.06%), 20E 22-acetate (0.09%), Tax (0.01%) and 3-epi-20E (0.01%), but many other minor ecdysteroids have been isolated as well. The major differences to the profile in seeds seem to be the absence of E from seeds and the enhanced contributions of PolB and AjuC.

Silene delavayi (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (1.5 mg/g) with a simple profile, comprising 20E as the major

component (95%) and Tax as the minor (5%). The ecdysteroid profile of this species has not been investigated previously, although it was known to be ecdysteroid-positive.³⁷

Sida cordifolia (Malvaceae)

Seeds of Sida cordifolia possess a moderate level of ecdysteroids (ca. 6.1 mg/g) and a complex ecdysteroid profile, amongst which 20E (3.9 mg/g) and PolB (0.2 mg/g) could be definitively identified and Abu (1.9 mg/g) was quantified by MS/MS. Cochromatography with authentic reference also identified the presence of 24-epi-abutasterone at a retention time of 11.2 min, which supported by mass spectral data for the was peak $([M + H]^+ = 497.3)$, with three sequential losses of H₂O). The peak at 15.7 min was hypothesised to be $(5\alpha-H)20$ -hydroxyecdysone, but this was not supported by co-chromatography with a verified reference standard. A previous study had found the seeds of Sida cordifolia from a different suppler to be ecdysteroid-negative,³⁸ but a study of the ecdysteroids in an extract of whole plants of the species had found relatively low levels of 20E (0.001% of the dry weight) and 25-acetoxy-20E 3-glucoside (0.003% of the dry weight).39

Silene fimbriata (Caryophyllaceae)

The total level of ecdysteroids in seeds of this species are relatively low (0.55 mg/g) with a simple two-component profile of 20E (391 μ g/g) and PolB (145 μ g/g). This species has not been investigated previously.

Silene hookeri (Caryophyllaceae)

This species has not been examined before. The seeds contain low to moderate levels of ecdysteroid (ca. 0.85 mg/g), being composed of 20E as the major component (630 μ g/g) and smaller amounts of PolB (168 μ g/g) and 2026E (48 μ g/g). The first- and second-eluting isomers of 2026E are in the proportion of 19:1.

Silene italica (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species possess a more complex ecdysteroid profile, consisting of significant amounts of 20E (2844 µg/g), polB (811 µg/g) and IntA (469 µg/g), together with small amounts of 2d20E (138 µg/g) and 2026E (23 µg/g; first- and second-eluting isomers in the ratio of 68:32) (total ecdysteroids = ca. 4.3 mg/g). Analysis of aerial portions of the plants had previously given 20E (0.53 mg/g dry weight) with significantly smaller amounts of $(5\alpha-H)$ 2dIntA, IntA, 22dIntA, (5 $\alpha-H$)20E and 9 β ,20-dihydroxyecdysone,⁴⁰ (5 β -H)2dIntA⁴¹ and 2d20E 22-glucoside.⁴²

Silene keiskei (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds contain moderate levels of ecdysteroid (ca. 4.8 mg/g), with a simple profile, but unusually the amount of PoIB (2.7 mg/g) exceeds that of 20E (2.1 mg/g). Seeds of *Silene keiskei* had previously been shown to accumulate ecdysteroids (200 μ g E equivalent/g by RIA²¹), but no ecdysteroid profile had been determined.

Silene laciniata angustifolia (Caryophyllaceae)

The seeds contain lowish levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 0.5 mg/g) with a three-component profile consisting of 20E (396 μ g/g) and smaller amounts of PolB (85 μ g/g) and 20206E (11 μ g/g), with the two isomers being in the ratio of 1:1. Seeds of *Silene laciniata* had previously been shown to accumulate ecdysteroids (2000 μ g E equivalent/g by RIA²¹), but no ecdysteroid profile had been determined.

Silene multiflora (Caryophyllaceae)

Ecdysteroid levels are moderate in seeds of this species (ca. 4.8 mg/g) with significant proportions of 20E (2.85 mg/g) and IntA (1.0 mg/g) and lower levels of PoIB (518 μ g/g), Abu (44 μ g/g) and 2026E (392 μ g/g, with the first- and second eluting isomers in the ratio of 4:1). There are conflicting previous reports as to whether *Silene multiflora* is ecdysteroid-positive or not (see Lafont *et al.*³).

Silene nutans (Caryophyllaceae)

The seeds of this species are relatively high accumulators of ecdysteroids. The total ecdysteroid level is 8.6 mg/g. The data here show the presence of significant amounts of 20E (4.96 mg/g) and PolB (2.61 mg/g), with lower amounts of IntA (844 μ g/g) and 2026E (188 μ g/g, with the first- and second eluting isomers in the ratio of ca. 8:2). Dry plants of this species had yielded 20E (0.27%), PolB, 26-hydroxyPolB, IntA and 2026E.³¹

Silene otites (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species contain high levels of 20E (ca. 9 mg/g) with much smaller amounts of 2d20E (239 μ g/g) and IntA. From plants, 20E (0.98% of the dry weight), IntA, 2dE, 2d20E and 2dIntA have been identified as the most abundant ecdysteroids³¹ along with an array of minor ecdysteroids,⁴³⁻⁴⁶ which required considerable enrichment for detection and identification.

Silene saxifraga (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (ca. 1.4 mg/g). 20E (1054 μ g/g), PoIB (342 μ g/g) and IntA could be identified conclusively, with indications that two other unidentified ecdysteroids could be present (eluting at 19.9 and 20.6 min). Seeds of this species were previously assessed for the presence of ecdysteroids and found to contain 250 μ g E equivalent/g as determined by RIA with the DBL1 antiserum.²¹ Furthermore, 20E has also been identified from this species.⁴⁷

Silene schafta (Caryophyllaceae)

Seeds of this species contain moderate levels of ecdysteroids (2.65 mg/g) with 20E (1.3 mg/g) and PoIB (1.1 mg/g) being almost equally prevalent. Lower amounts of Abu (41ug/g) and IntA (215ug/g) could also be conclusively identified, along with an ecdysteroid of MW = 512 eluting at 9.6 min, which co-chromatographed with 26-hydroxyPoIB (MW = 512). Peaks corresponding to the transition 481.1 \rightarrow 445.0 occurring at 19.9 and 20.6 min may indicate the presence of further ecdysteroids, but

these co-elute with a broad impurity peak which prevented obtaining useful UV- and MS-spectra. RIA assessment with the DBL1 antiserum of ecdysteroid levels in seeds of this species revealed the presence of 586 μ g E equivalent/g ²¹ and 20E and PoIB have been identified from plants of this species.⁴⁸

Silene viridiflora (Caryophyllaceae)

The total ecdysteroids in these seeds was ca. 3.3 mg/g, which was mainly accounted for by 20E (1.9 mg/g) and PolB (1.2 mg/g), with much smaller amounts of 2026E (128 μ g/g; with the first- and second-eluting isomers in the ratio of 87:13) and IntA being also conclusively identified. The dried herb of *Silene viridiflora* had previously yielded 20E, PolB, 2d20E, IntA, silenosides A and D and 26-hydroxyPolB⁴⁹ along with other minor 26-hydroxylated ecdysteroids (including 2026E⁵⁰) and ecdysteroid acetates⁵¹ and acetonides.⁵²

3.4 | Applications

The method described here is appropriate for the rapid screening of small samples of seeds and other plant parts for the detection, quantification and identification of several ecdysteroid analogues simultaneously. It can be used to identify high producers of 20E or other commercially-expensive or -unavailable ecdysteroid analogues, or for dereplication of plant extracts to identify those which have a high probability of containing new analogues in adequate amounts for isolation and full identification. The method allows comparison of ecdysteroid profiles in related species to assess the significance and usefulness of phytoecdysteroids as chemotaxonomical markers.

4 | SUMMARY

The validated method described here permits the sensitive, quantitative detection of multiple ecdysteroids in simply prepared samples. The micro-extraction and C18-Sep Pak partial purifications are straightforward and rapid. One person can perform 50 microextractions on one day and comfortably carry out the corresponding Sep Pak purifications on a second day. Initial screening for samples by HPLC-MS/MS for the presence of 20E is rapid (4 samples/h). The gradient separation of the ecdysteroids by HPLC-DAD-MS takes longer because of the need for good resolution of the analogues, permitting, with re-equilibration time, the separation of 1 sample/h, but this is only applied to the relatively few ecdysteroid-positive extracts. As described here, the HPLC-MS/MS method was used to detect and quantify 17 common phytoecdysteroids, but other analogues (e.g. C17-, C21-, C24analogues or conjugates) could readily be added, depending on the specific purpose of the analyses. The 5 mL 100% methanol fraction deriving from a 25 mg plant sample containing as little as 50 μ g/g 20E is (e.g. the Ipheion uniflorum seeds studied here) readily provided enough material for the quantification and identification of all the significant ecdysteroids present. The method is suitable for screening plant samples for the identification of high accumulators of specific ecdysteroid analogues, dereplication to identify extracts

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

and chemotaxonomic studies.

WILEY

This research was supported by Biophytis (Paris, France) and the FAER (Dorchester, UK). The authors thank Prof. Jean Mariani for his helpful comments on the manuscript.

containing novel analogues, characterisation of ecdysteroid profiles

ORCID

Laurence Dinan (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6142-1090

REFERENCES

- Koolman J (Ed). Ecdysone: from Chemistry to Mode of Action. Stuttgart: Thieme Verlag; 1989:482.
- Wang S-F, Ayer S, Segraves WA, Williams DR, Raikhel AS. Molecular determinants of differential ligand sensitivities of insect ecdysteroid receptors. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2000;20(11):3870-3879.
- Lafont R, Harmatha J, Marion-Poll F, Dinan L, Wilson ID. Ecdybase (The Ecdysone Handbook, 3rd Edition, On-line) 2002; http:// ecdybase.org
- Dinan L. Phytoecdysteroids: biological aspects. Phytochemistry. 2001; 57(3):325-339.
- Bandara BMR, Jayasinghe L, Karunaratne V, et al. Ecdysterone from stem of *Diploclisia glaucescens*. *Phytochemistry*. 1989;28(4):1073-1075.
- Dinan L, Savchenko T, Whiting P. On the distribution of phytoecdysteroids in plants. *Cell Molec Life Sci.* 2001;58(8):1121-1132.
- Dinan L, Lafont R. Effects and applications of arthropod steroid hormones (ecdysteroids) in mammals. J Endocrinol. 2006;191(1):1-8.
- Lafont R, Dinan L. Ecdysteroids in modern agriculture, medicine, doping and ecotoxicology. In: Smagghe G, ed. *Ecdysone: structures and functions*. Berlin, FRG: Springer Science; 2009:551-578.
- Kametani T, Tsubuki M. Strategies for the synthesis of ecdysteroids. In: Koolman J, ed. Ecdysone: from Chemistry to Mode of Action. Stuttgart, FRG: Springer Verlag; 1989:74-96.
- Kovganko NV. Chemical transformation of ecdysteroids: latest advances. Chem Nat Compd. 1998;34:111-127.
- Coll TJ. Synthetic ecdysteroidal compounds. In: Smagghe G, ed. *Ecdysones: Structures and Functions*. Berlin, FRG: Springer; 2009: 99-123.
- Dinan L, Lafont R. Compilation of the literature reports for the screening of vascular plants, algae, fungi and marine organisms for the presence of ecdysteroids: Ecdybase, version 6 2019; www. ecdybase.org
- Dinan L, Mamadalieva NZ, Lafont R. Dietary Phytoecdysteroids. In: Xiao J, Sarker SD, Asakawa Y, eds. *Handbook of Dietary Phytochemicals*. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2019:54 10. 1007/978-981-13-1745-3_35-1.
- Kumpun S, Maria A, Crouzet S, Evrard-Todeschi N, Girault J-P, Lafont R. Ecdysteroids from *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd., an ancient Andean crop of high nutritional value. *Food Chem.* 2011;125:1226-1234.
- Graf BL, Poulev A, Kuhn P, Grace MH, Lila MA, Raskin I. Quinoa seeds leach phytoecdysteroids and other compounds with antidiabetic properties. *Food Chem.* 2014;163:178-185.

- Clément CY, Bradbrook DA, Lafont R, Dinan L. Assessment of a microplate-based bioassay for the detection of ecdysteroid-like or antiecdysteroid activities. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 1993;23:187-193.
- Dinan L. A strategy for the identification of ecdysteroid receptor agonist and antagonists from plants. *Eur J Entomol.* 1995;92: 271-283.
- Pongracz Z, Bathori M, Mathé I, Janisak G, Miklossy VV. Ecdysteroids as varying chemical constituents of *Silene* species growing in Hungary. Proceedings of the international conference on MAP (Eds. Bernath J. et al.). *Acta Hortic.* 2003;597:131-135.
- Revina TA, Revushkina AS, Rakitin AV. Ecdysteroid-containing species in flora of the Altai mountains. *Rastit Resur.* 1988;34(4): 565-570.
- Báthori M. Purification and characterization of plant ecdysteroids of Silene species. Trends Anal Chem. 1998;17:372-383.
- Zibareva L, Volodin V, Saatov Z, et al. Distribution of phytoecdysteroids in the Caryophyllaceae. *Phytochemistry*. 2003;64: 499-517.
- Dinan L, Whiting P, Scott AJ. Taxonomic distribution of phytoecdysteroids in seeds of members of the Chenopodiaceae. *Biochem Syst Ecol.* 1998;26:553-576.
- Cheng Y, Zhou J, Tan N. Ding Z (2001) Phytoecdysterones from *Cucubalus baccifer* (Caryophyllaceae). *Acta Bot Sin.* 2001;43: 316-318.
- Bespayeva AM, Tuleuov BI, Habdolda G, et al. The spread of 20-hydroxyecdysone and its analogues in plants. News Nat Acad Rep Kazak - Ser Chem. 2012;2(66):13-16.
- Prakash A, Ghosal S. Phytoecdysones. J Sci Ind Res India. 1979;38: 632-647.
- Savchenko T, Whiting P, Sarker SD, Dinan L. Phytoecdysteroids in the genus Agapanthus (Alliaceae). Biochem Syst Ecol. 1997;25: 623-629.
- Zhu N, Kikuzaki H, Vastano BC, et al. Ecdysteroids of quinoa seeds (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.). J Agric Food Chem. 2001;49(5):2576-2578.
- 28. Nakamura O, Mimaki Y, Sashida Y, Nikaido T, Ohmoto T. Three new furostanol saponins from the bulbs of *Ipheion uniflorum. Chem Pharm Bull.* 1994;42(5):1116-1122.
- 29. Kokoska L, Janovska D. Chemistry and pharmacology of *Rhaponticum carthamoides*: a review. *Phytochemistry*. 2009;70(7):842-855.
- Zibareva LN. Phytoecdysteroids of Caryophyllaceae Juss. Contemp Probl Ecol. 2009;2:476-488.
- Girault J-P, Báthori M, Varga E, Szendrei K, Lafont R. Isolation and identification of new ecdysteroids from the Caryophyllaceae. J Nat Prod. 1990;53:279-293.
- Báthori M, Lafont R, Girault J-P, Máthé I. Structural diversity of ecdysteroids of Lychnis flos-cuculi. Acta Pharm Hung. 2001;71(2): 157-167.
- Sarker SD, Savchenko T, Whiting P, Lafont R, Dinan LN. The genus Ourisia (Scrophulariaceae): a potential source of phytoecdysteroids. Biochem Syst Ecol. 1996;24:803-804.
- Nohara T, Ito Y, Seike H, et al. Study on constituents of Paris quadrifolia L. Chem Pharm Bull. 1982;30:1851-1856.
- Jenett-Siems K, Krause N, Siems K, Jakupovic S, Wallukat G, Melzig MF. Chemical composition and biological activity of *Paris quadrifolia* L. *Z Naturforsch*. 2012;67c:565-570.
- Odinokov VN, Galyautdinov IV, Nedopekin DV, et al. Phytoecdysteroids from the juice of Serratula coronata L. (Asteraceae). Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2002;32:161-165.
- Zibareva LN, Dinan L, Eryomina VI. Screening of Caryophyllaceae species for phytoecdysteroid presence. *Rastit Resur.* 2007;43:66-75.
- Dinan L, Bourne P, Whiting P. Phytoecdysteroid profiles in seeds of Sida spp. (Malvaceae). Phytochem Anal. 2001;12:110-119.

- Avula B, Joshi V, Wang Y-H, Jadhav AN, Khan IA. Quantitative determination of ecdysteroids in *Sida rhombifolia* L. and various other *Sida* species using LC-UV, and their anatomical characterization. *Nat Prod Commun.* 2008;3:705-710.
- 40. Simon A, Pongrácz Z, Tóth G, Mák A, Máthé I, Báthori M. A new ecdysteroid with unique 9β-OH and four other ecdysteroids from *Silene italica* ssp. *nemoralis. Steroids.* 2004;69(6):389-394.
- Báthori M, Kalász H, Pongrácz Z, Máthé I, Kálmán A, Argay G. 5-Alpha- and 5-beta-2-deoxyintegristerone A, a 5-alpha and 5-beta isomer pair of ecdysteroids isolated from the *Silene* genus. *Biomed Chromatogr.* 2002;16(6):373-378.
- Báthori M, Pongrácz Z, Tóth G, et al. Isolation of a new member of the ecdysteroid glycoside family: 2-deoxy-20-hydroxyecdysone 22-O-β-D-glucopyranoside. J Chromatogr Sci. 2002;40(7):409-415.
- Girault J-P, Báthori M, Kalasz H, Máthé I, Lafont R. Sidisterone, a C₂₄ ecdysteroid from *Silene dioica* and *Silene otites*. J Nat Prod. 1996;59: 522-524.
- Báthori M, Girault J-P, Kálasz H, Máthé I, Lafont R. New minor ecdysteroids from *Silene otites* (L.) Wib. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 1997; 16(2):327-336.
- Báthori M, Girault J-P, Kalasz H, Máthé I, Dinan LN, Lafont R. Complex phytoecdysteroid cocktail of *Silene otites* (Caryophyllaceae). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol. 1999;41:1-8.
- Báthori M, Girault J-P, Máthé I, Lafont R. Isolation of 5α- and 5βdihydrorubrosterone from Silene otites L. (Wib). Biomed Chromatogr. 2000;14(7):464-467.
- Mamadalieva NZ. Phytoecdysteroids from Silene plants: distribution, diversity and biological (antitumour, antibacterial and antioxidant) activities. Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromát. 2012;11:474-497.

- 48. Saatov Z, Gorovits MB, Abubakirov NK. Phytoecdysteroids of plants of the genus *Silene*. *Chem Nat Compd*. 1993;29:551-557.
- 49. Mamadalieva NZ, Zibareva LN, Saatov Z, Lafont R. Phytoecdysteroids of Silene viridiflora. Chem Nat Compd. 2003;39:199-203.
- Tóth N, Simon A, Tóth G, Kele Z, Hunyadi A, Báthori M. 26-Hydroxylated ecdysteroids from *Silene viridiflora*. J Nat Prod. 2008;71(8):1461-1463.
- Mamadalieva NZ, Zibareva LN, Evrard-Todeschi N, et al. Lafont R (2004) new minor ecdysteroids from *Silene viridiflora*. *Collect Czech Chem Commun*. 2004;69:1675-1680.
- Simon A, Tóth N, Tóth G, Kele Z, Groska J, Báthori M. Ecdysteroids from Silene viridiflora. Helv Chim Acta. 2009;92:753-761.
- Girault J-P, Blais C, Beydon P, Rolando C, Lafont R. Synthesis and nulear magnetic resonance study of 3-dehydroecdysteroids. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol. 1989;10:199-213.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Dinan L, Balducci C, Guibout L, Lafont R. Small-scale analysis of phytoecdysteroids in seeds by HPLC-DAD-MS for the identification and quantification of specific analogues, dereplication and chemotaxonomy. *Phytochemical Analysis*. 2020;1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> pca.2930

1 Supplementary Information; Table 1: Identification of ecdysteroids in seeds of ecdysteroid-

- 2 positive plant species by HPLC/DAD/MS
- 3

| Agapanthus proecox 8.9 496.9 \Rightarrow 461.1 250 - - Turk? 3.1 15.8 497.0 \Rightarrow 371.0 - - - Abu? 14.1 18.2 481.1 \Rightarrow 445.0 (AjuC) 250 (Sh) 481.1 - 2 TBI 20.6 481.1 \Rightarrow 445.0 (AjuC) 250 (Sh) 481.1 - 2 TBI 24.8 481.1 \Rightarrow 445.0 (AjuC) 258 481.2 503.2 3 TBI 26.2 447.0 \Rightarrow 429.0 250 (Sh) 447.2 - 2 E 631 38.8 449.0 \Rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); - 465.2 487.2 4 TBI 39.6 449.0 \Rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); - 465.2? - ? TBI 41.5 449.0 \Rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); - 465.2? - ? TBI 41.5 449.0 \Rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); 250 (Sh) 447.2 [M+H- - 3 TBI 41.5 449.0 \Rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); 250 (Sh) 497.1 519.1 3 2026E 20.0 (iganteum 12.1/12.7 495.2 \Rightarrow 175.0 | Species | Rt (min) | Transition | λmax (nm) | [M+H] ⁺ (m/z) | [M+Na] ⁺ (m/z) | No. H₂O Lost | Identity | Amount (µg/g) from HPLC- MS/MS |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---|
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Agapanthus praecox | 8.9 | 496.9→461.1 | 250 | - | - | - | Turk? | 3.15 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | p | 15.8 | 497.0→371.0 | - | - | - | - | Abu? | 14.9 (LOQ low) |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} 20.6 & 481.1 \rightarrow 445.0 (AjuC) & 250(Sh) & 481.1 & . & 2 & TBI \\ \hline 24.8 & 481.1 \rightarrow 445.0 (AjuC) & 258 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 26.2 & 447.0 \rightarrow 429.0 & 250 (Sh) & 447.2 & . & 2 & E & 631 \\ \hline 38.8 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dOE); & . & 465.2 & 487.2 & 4 & TBI \\ \hline 39.6 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dOE); & . & 465.2 & . & ? & TBI \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250(Sh) & 447.2 [M+H+ & . & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250(Sh) & 447.2 [M+H+ & . & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250(Sh) & 447.2 [M+H+ & . & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250(Sh) & 497.1 & 519.1 & 3 & 2026E & 20.4 \\ \hline 68.2 & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & PoIB & 361 \\ \hline 18.2 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.1 & 4 & 20E & 559 \\ \hline 22.3 & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 22.8 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.3 \\ \hline 22.5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.3 \\ \hline 22.5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.3 \\ \hline 22.5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.4 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.3 \\ \hline 18.4 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 18.4 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $ | | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.3 | 4 | 20E | 517 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 20.6 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 250(Sh) | 481.1 | - | 2 | TBI | |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} \hline 26.2 & 447.0 \rightarrow 429.0 & 250 (\text{Sh}) & 447.2 & - & 2 & \text{E} & 631 \\ \hline 38.8 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dOE); & - & 465.2 & 487.2 & 4 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 39.6 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dOE); & - & 465.2? & - & ? & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); & - & 465.2? & - & ? & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE); & 250 (\text{Sh}) & 447.2 [\text{M+H-} & - & 3 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250 (\text{Sh}) & 447.2 [\text{M+H-} & - & 3 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 41.5 & 449.0 \rightarrow 413.0 (2dE) & 250 (\text{Sh}) & 497.1 & 519.1 & 3 & 2026E & 20.3 \\ \hline 61.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{PolB} & 361 \\ \hline 18.2 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.1 & 4 & 20E & 559 \\ \hline 22.3 & & 248 & 493.2 & 515.2 & 3 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 22.8 & & 248 & 493.2 & 515.2 & 3 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 22.8 & & 248 & 493.2 & 515.2 & 3 & \text{TBI} \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & - & - & 2026E & 1.57 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & - & - & - & 2026E & 1.57 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & - & - & - & 2026E & 1.57 \\ \hline 22.5 & & - & 495.2 & 517.2 & 3 & \text{MakA}/24 \\ \hline cucubalus \\ bacciferi & 14.3 & & 248 & 461.2 [\text{M+H-} & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & \text{IntA} & \text{nd} (1 & \text{higd}) \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.1 & 3 & \text{Pole} & 540 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.1 & 3 & \text{Pole} & 540 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.1 & 3 & \text{Pole} & 540 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.1 & 3 & \text{Pole} & 540 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.1 & 3 & \text{Pole} & 540 \\ \hline 16.8 & 49$ | | 24.8 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 258 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 3 | TBI | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 26.2 | 447.0→429.0 | 250 (Sh) | 447.2 | - | 2 | E | 631 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 38.8 | 449.0→413.0 (2dOE); 447.0→429.0 (E) | - | 465.2 | 487.2 | 4 | ТВІ | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 39.6 | 449.0→413.0 (2dE); 447.0→429.0 (E) | - | 465.2? | - | ? | ТВІ | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 41.5 | 449.0→413.0 (2dE) | 250(Sh) | 447.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ ? | - | 3 | TBI | |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & 246 & 497.2 & 519.2 & 4 & PolB & 361 \\ \hline 18.2 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.1 & 4 & 20E & 559 \\ \hline 22.3 & & 248 & 495.1 & 517.2 & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 22.8 & & 248 & 493.2 & 515.2 & 3 & TBI \\ \hline 22.8 & & 495.2 \rightarrow 175.0 & - & - & - & - & 2026E & 1.55 \\ \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & - & - & - & PolB & 5.05 \\ \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.5 \\ \hline 22.5 & - & 495.2 & 517.2 & 3 & MakA/24 \\ epi-MakA & epi-MakA \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline$ | Chenopodium giganteum | 12.1/12.7 (8:2) | 495.2→175.0 | 250 (sh) | 497.1 | 519.1 | 3 | 2026E | 20.8 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 361 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.1 | 4 | 20E | 559 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 22.3 | | 248 | 495.1 | 517.2 | 3 | TBI | |
| C. quinoa 12.1 495.2 \rightarrow 175.0 - - - - 2026E 1.5 16.8 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 - - - - PolB 5.0 18.3 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 248 481.2 503.2 4 20E 67.4 22.5 - 495.2 517.2 3 MakA/24- epi-MakA epi-MakA Cucubalus bacciferi 14.3 248 461.2 [M+H- 2H_2O]^+ 519.2 4 IntA nd (high | | 22.8 | | 248 | 493.2 | 515.2 | 3 | TBI | |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} \hline 16.8 & 497.4 \rightarrow 351.3 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $ | C. quinoa | 12.1 | 495.2→175.0 | - | - | - | - | 2026E | 1.57 |
| $ \begin{array}{c cccc} \hline 18.3 & 481.1 \rightarrow 371.2 & 248 & 481.2 & 503.2 & 4 & 20E & 67.1 \\ \hline 22.5 & - & 495.2 & 517.2 & 3 & MakA/24-\\ \hline cucubalus \\ bacciferi & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 14.3 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | - | - | - | - | PolB | 5.03 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 18.3 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 67.5 |
| Cucubalus 14.3 248 461.2 [M+H- 519.2 4 IntA nd bacciferi $2H_2O$] ⁺ $2H_2O$] ⁺ high 16.8 497.4-351.3 246 497.2 519.1 3 PolB 59.0 | | 22.5 | | - | 495.2 | 517.2 | 3 | MakA/24- <i>epi</i> -MakA | |
| 16.8 497.4→351.3 246 497.2 519.1 3 PolR 59.0 | Cucubalus bacciferi | 14.3 | | 248 | 461.2 [M+H- 2H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.1 | 3 | PolB | 59.0 |
| 18.2 481.1→371.2 248 481.2 503.1 4 20E 134 | | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.1 | 4 | 20E | 1340 |

| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | 246 | 465.3 | 487.2 | 3 | Tax | 18.6 |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------|---|-------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| lpheion uniflorum | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | - | 497.1 | 519.0 | 4 | PolB | 2.36 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 47 |
| | 26.7 | 465.3→429.0 | 248 | 465.2 | 487.1 | 3 | 2d20E | 2.98 |
| Leuzea carthamoides | 14.2 | | ? | 479.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.1 | 3 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.1 | 519.1 | 3 | PolB | 1495 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 7190 |
| | 20.6 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 250(Sh) | - | - | - | No ID | |
| | 24.2 | 481.1→445.0 | 244 | 481.2 | - | 2 | AjuC | 420 |
| | 24.5 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 242 | 481.2 | - | 2 | TBI | |
| Lychnis arkwriahtii | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.0 | 5 | PolB | 3748 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.1 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 4166 |
| | 26.2 | 447.0→429.0 | 250 | 447.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 487.2 | 3 | E | 470 |
| L. chalcedonica | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 246 | 479.1 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | 345 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | PolB | 2008 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.1 | 4 | 20E | 1652 |
| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | 248 | 465.2 | 487.2 | 3 | Tax | 134 |
| L. coronaria | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 246 | 479.3 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.1 | 4 | PolB | 664 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 3750 |
| L. flos-cuculi | 14.2 | | 246 | 479.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 3 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 4600 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 3547 |
| L. flos-jovi | 12.1/12.7 (94:6) | 495.2→175.0 | 250 | 497.3 | - | 2 | 2026E | 46.2 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.1 | 4 | PolB | 381 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 246 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 1253 |
| | 19.9 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | - | - | - | - | No ID | |

| Ourisia macrophylla | 12.1/12.7 (6:4) | 495.2→175.0 | 250 (sh) | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | 2026E | 324 |
|------------------------|--------------------|---|----------|----------------------------------|-------|---|---------------------|------------------|
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | PolB | 301 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 9143 |
| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | 246 | 465.1 | - | 3 | Тах | 50.2 |
| Paris auadrifolia | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 503.2 | 5 | PolB | 3755 |
| quuunjonu | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 519.1 | 4 | 20E | 3952 |
| Serratula coronata | 14.2 | | 246 | 479.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 5 | PolB | 7484 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.1 | 4 | 20E | 18430 |
| | 24.2 | 481.1→445.0 | 246 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | AjuC | 3303 |
| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | - | 447.0 [M+H- H₂O] | - | 2 | Tax? | 71.3 |
| | 32.4 | 462.9→299.0 | 246 | - | - | - | Dacry? | 87.1 (LO low) |
| Sida cordifolia | 11.4 | | 248 | 497.2 | - | 4 | 24- <i>epi</i> -Abu | trace |
| | 13.3 | 497.1→371.0 (Abu) | 248 | 497.2 | - | 4 | ТВІ | |
| | 15.7 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC); 447.0→429.0 (E) | 248 | 481.2 | - | 4 | ТВІ | |
| | 15.8 | 497.1→371.0 | 248 | | | | Abu? | 1918 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | PolB | 197 |
| | 17.3 | | 250 | 481.2 | - | 3 | ТВІ | |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 3926 |
| | 18.3 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC); 465.0→429.0 (2d20E); 447.0→429.0 (E) | | | | | ТВІ | |
| | 18.9 | 497.1→371.0 (Abu) | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | ТВІ | |
| | 20.6 | 465.0→429.0 (2d20E) | 248 | 465.2 | 479.1 | 3 | ТВІ | |
| | 21.7 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 248 | 481.2 | - | 3 | ТВІ | |
| | 23.6 | 447.0→429.0 (E) | 250 | 447.2 | - | 2 | ТВІ | |
| | 24.8 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 248 | 481.2 | - | 2 | TBI | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | 26.2 | 447.0→429.0 | | | | | E? | 259 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----|----------------------------------|-------|---|--------|------|
| | 26.5 | 447.0→429.0 (E) | | | | | | |
| | 26.7 | 465.3→429.0 | 248 | | | | 2d20E? | 110 |
| | 27.0 | 465.0→429.0 (2d20E) | | | | | | |
| | 27.7 | 465.0→429.0 (2d20E); 465.0→447.0 (PonA); 447.0→429.0 (E) | 248 | 505.2 | - | 3 | ТВІ | |
| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | | | | | Tax? | 39.4 |
| | 28.6 | 465.0→429.0 (2d20E) | | | | | | |
| | 31.1 | 465.0→429.0 (2d20E); 465.0→447.0 (PonA) | 248 | 463.2? | - | 2 | ТВІ | |
| | 32.2 | | 248 | 463.2 | - | 2 | ТВІ | |
| Silene delavayi | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 1437 |
| | 28.4 | 461.1→429.0 | 249 | 465.2 | 487.1 | 3 | Тах | 76.2 |
| S. fimbriata | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 145 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 391 |
| S. hookeri | 12.1/12.7 (19:1) | 495.2→175.0 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | 2026E | 48.1 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.1 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 168 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 630 |
| S. italica | 12.1/12.7 (68:32) | 495.2→175.0 | 250 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | 2026E | 22.5 |
| | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 246 | 479.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.1 | 3 | IntA | 469 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 811 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 2844 |
| | 26.7 | 465.3→429.0 | 248 | 465.2 | 487.2 | 3 | 2d20E | 138 |
| S. keiskei | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 2715 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.3 | 4 | 20E | 2107 |
| S. laciniata angustifolia | 12.1/12.7 (1:1) | 495.2→175.0 | - | 497.0 | 519.4 | 3 | 2026E | 10.6 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 244 | 497.1 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 84.7 |
| - | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 396 |

| S. multiflora | 12.1/12.7 (4:1) | 495.2→175.0 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | 2026E | 392 |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|---|----------|------------------|
| | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 248 | 479.2[M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | 1012 |
| | 15.8 | 497.0→371.0 | 249 | 497.3 | 519.1 | 3 | Abu | 44.1 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 518 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.1 | 4 | 20E | 2847 |
| S. nutans | 12.1/12.7 (78:22) | 495.2→175.0 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | 2026E | 188 |
| | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 248 | 479.1 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 3 | IntA | 844 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 | PolB | 2605 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 481.2 | 4 | 20E | 4963 |
| S. otites | 14.2 | | 246 | 479.2 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.1 | 3 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 9038 |
| | 26.7 | 465.3→429.0 | - | 465.1 | 487.3 | 3 | 2d20E | 239 |
| S. saxifraga | 14.2 | | 246 | 479.1 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.1 | 3 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 15.9 | | 248 | - | - | - | | |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 342 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 248 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 1054 |
| | 19.9 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 270&335 (under peak) | - | - | - | No ID | |
| | 20.6 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 270&335 (under peak) | 481.0 | - | 2 | ТВІ | |
| S. schafta | 9.6 | | 248 | 513.2 | 535.2 | 4 | 260HPolB | trace |
| | 14.3 | 497.1→386.9 | 248 | 479.1 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | 215 |
| | 15.8 | 497.0→371.0 | 249 | 497.2 | - | 3 | Abu | 41.3 |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 4 | PolB | 1099 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 247 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 1299 |
| | 19.9 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 270&335 (under peak) | - | - | - | No ID | |
| | 20.6 | 481.1→445.0 (AjuC) | 270&335 (under | - | - | - | No ID | |

| | | | peak) | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|---|-------|------------------|
| S. viridiflora | 5.5 | | 248 | - | - | - | No ID | |
| | 12.1/12.7 (87:13) | 495.2→175.0 | 248 | 497.3 | 519.1 | 4 | 2026E | 128 |
| | 14.3 | | 248 | 479.1 [M+H- H₂O] ⁺ | 519.2 | 4 | IntA | nd (LOQ high) |
| | 16.8 | 497.4→351.3 | 246 | 497.2 | 519.1 | 3 | PolB | 1223 |
| | 18.2 | 481.1→371.2 | 247 | 481.2 | 503.2 | 4 | 20E | 1925 |

4 TBI: ecdysteroid to be identified

| Ecdysteroid | MW | Publ. | Rt§ | Found | [M+H] ⁺ | [M+Na] ⁺ | No. |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | | λmax | | λmax | m/z | m/z | H ₂ O |
| | | (nm) | | (nm) | | | lost |
| 24-epi-abutasterone | 496 | 242 | 12.22 | 248 | 497.2 | 519.2 | 3 |
| dacrysterone | 510 | 240 | 21.49 | 246 | 511.2 | 533.1 | 3 |
| Makisterone A | 494 | 243 | 22.54 | 248 | 495.3 | 517.2 | 4 |
| 24- <i>epi</i> -makisterone | 494 | 242 | 22.5 | 248 | 495.2 | 517.3 | 3 |
| А | | | | | | | |
| 24,28- | 492 | 245 | 22.51 | 248 | 493.2 | 515.3 | 4 |
| dehydromakisterone | | | | | | | |
| А | | | | | | | |
| Muristerone A | 496 | 236? | 22.58 | 240 | 497.4 | - | 4 |
| Poststerone | 362 | 242 | 22.57 | 246 | 363.3 | 385.1 | 2 |
| Rubrosterone | 334 | 240? | 14.05 | 244 | 335.2 | I | 2 |
| (5α)Rubrosterone | 334 | 240? | 11.65 | 242 | 335.2 | 357.2 | 2 |
| Stachysterone C | 462 | 242 | 31.17 | 248 | 463.2 | 485.2 | 2 |
| Viticosterone E (20E | 522 | 243 | 30.77 | 248 | 523.2 | 545.3 | 3 |
| 25-acetate) | | | | | | | |
| (5α)20- | 480 | 242 | 16.07 | 246 | - | 503.3 | 4 |
| Hydroxyecdysone | | | | | | | |
| (5α)2- | 448 | 242 | 33.91 | 245 | - | 471.3 | 3 |
| deoxyecdysone | | | | | | | |
| 25-deoxyecdysone | 448 | 241 | 40.26 | 248 | 449.3 | 471.2 | 2 |
| 5,20,26E (26- | 512 | 242 | 10.19 | 246 | 513.3 | 535.2 | 3 |
| hydroxyPolB) | | | | | | | |
| 3-dehydroE | 462 | 242 | 28.2/29.0& | 248 | - | 485.3 | 3 |
| 3-dehydro20E | 478 | 242 | 20.0/20.6& | 248 | - | 501.1 | 4 |
| Sidisterone | 416 | 240 | 28.45 | 246 | 417.2 | 439.1 | 2 |

6 Supplementary Information; Table 2: Data for additional reference ecdysteroids

7 §Rt for 20E = 17.61min

8 & & distorted peak shape with leading front, owing to 3-0x0/2-0x0 tautomerisation and/or H₂O 9 adduct formation (see ⁵³).

10

11 Reference 53. Girault J-P, Blais C, Beydon P, Rolando C, Lafont R. Synthesis and nulear

12 magnetic resonance study of 3-dehydroecdysteroids. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* 1989; 10:

13 199-213.

14